

Appl. No. 10/822583

REMARKS

The following remarks are in response to the Office Action mailed on July 6, 2005. Upon entrance of the amendments set out above, Claims 48-50, 52-57, 59-63 and 64-66 remain pending in this application.

Claims 66 and 67 were objected to as being duplicates of each other. Claims 48-50, 54-57, 59-63, and 65-67 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogasawara et al. (4,546,234). Response is hereby made to these rejections. Claims 51-53, 58, and 64 were objected to for depending from rejected claims, but included allowable subject matter. Applicant's thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Claim 67 was cancelled, thus the objection to it being a duplicate of claim 66 is addressed.

Claims 48-50, 54-57, 59-63, and 65-67 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogasawara et al. (4,546,234). Each independent claim has been amended to include a limitation from a dependent claim that has allowable subject matter. Thus, all claims should now be allowable.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the application should be allowed. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned below if it will aid in the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully Submitted



George R. Corrigan, Reg. No. 34,803
Corrigan Law Office
5 Briarcliff Court
Appleton, WI 54915
(920) 954-1099

*DN*F:\WP51\DOCS\ITW\7247.63\7247.63response1.wpd Monday, October 03, 2005 3:14PM